DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 233 272

CG 016 851

AUTHOR

Winer, Jane L.; And Others

TITLE

Vocational Personality Assessment of High School

Students Who Have Difficulty Reading.

PUB DATE

14 Apr 83

NOTE

27p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the

Southwestern Psychological Association (29th, San

Antonio, TX, April 21-23, 1983).

PUB TYPE

Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Career Awareness; High Schools; High School Students;

*Interest Inventories; Occupational Aspiration; Personality Traits; *Reading Difficulties; Test Norms; *Vocational Aptitude; *Vocational Interests

IDENTIFIERS

Holland Self Directed Search; *Self Directed Search

Form E

ABSTRACT

John Holland's Self-Directed Search-Form E (SDS-E) is a vocational personality assessment instrument based upon the well-known Self-Directed Search (SDS) but designed for use with poor readers or children. Virtually no data are available in the professional literature pertaining to the SDS-E. High school students (N=44) in five remedial reading classes completed the SDS-E under standard conditions, i.e, the instrument was self-administered and self-scored. No relationship was found between reading level and Holland type scale scores. Summary codes, used to indicate personality type and occupational environment, were similar to those obtained by high school norm groups on the SDS. However, there was little agreement between stated aspiration and measured vocational personality. An analysis of the errors and irregularities in the students' performance on the SDS-E suggested that the discrepancy was a function not of reading deficiency but rather of a greatly restricted view of the occupational world. (Author/WAS)

Reproduction supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

BEST COPY AVAILABL

Vocational Personality Assessment of

High School Students Who Have Difficulty Reading

Jane L. Winer, David O. Wilson, and Richard A. Fierce
Texas Tech University

Southwestern Fsychological Association

San Antonio, Texas

April 14, 1983

A 1. IVAL INSTITUTE CHEDUCATION
A 1. IVAL INSTITUTE CHEDUCATION
A 1. IVAL INSTITUTE CHEDUCATION
CHICAGO INCOMPATION
ENTER CHICAGO
LICENTER

LA ... LE : Proprieta de la

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS

MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

HOLE ATTIOLS ELLIST LEMIN

A CAR BATTAIN CERTAIN (ETM.)

etter i griftem i malti. .



Abstract

Holland's Self-Directed Search-Form, E (SDS-E) is vocational personality assessment instrument based upon the well-known SDS but designed for use with poor readers or Virtually no data are available in children. professional literature pertaining to the SDS-E. High school students (N=44) in five remedial reading classes completed the SDS-E under standard conditions, i.e., the instrument was self-administered and self-scored. relationship was found between reading level and Holland type scale scores. Summary codes were similar to those obtained by high school norm groups on the SDS. there was little agreement between stated aspiration and measured vocational personality. An analysis of the errors and irregularities in the sample's performance on the SDS-E suggested that the discrepancy was a function not of reading deficiency but rather of a greatly restricted view of the occupational world.

Using the Self-Directed Search-Form E with High School Remedial Reading Students

John Holland's Self-Directed Search (SDS; 1970) is major vocational personality assessment intrument has commanded great attention in the professional literature. Holland devised an alternative form of the SDS, the SDS-Form E (SDS-E), which he intended to be used with children or with adults who difficulty reading. had Virtually no data pertaining to the SDS-E appear in literature. The investigation reported here professional provides some descriptive data pertaining to the use of the SDS-E with a sample like that claimed by Holland to be suited to its use. Whether a sample of high school remedial reading students would comprehend the instructions of SDS-E was one question of interest. Also of interest the vocational personality characteristics of the sample as compared to normative groups.

METHOD

WALL L

The maple consisted of 44 high school students who is a lied in remedial reading (little I) classes in a policy school in a small town in rural West Texas. There were 29 boys and 15 girls in the class. The modal age was in the class in the modal age was the modal in the class.



3

The reading level of the class ranged from fourth to tenth grade (mean = 6.6; median = 6.5).

INSTRUMENT

The SDS-E is much like its parent test, the SDS, but was designed to be easier to read. The SDS is described by author as "a self-administered, self-scored, and self interpreted vocational counseling tool" (Holland, 1979, p. 1). Like the SDS, the SDS-E has scales for Activities (termed "likes" in the SDS-E), Competencies, Occupations ("Jobs"), and Self-Estimates ("Rating Your Abilities"); an Occupational Daydreams section ("Possible Jobs"// and instructions for self scoring of the assessment booklat like the SDS, the SDS E provides the student with an Occupational classification booklet (termed Occupations Finder in the SDS and the Jobs Finder in the SDS-E), which the student uses to find vocational possibilities which match his or her personality type. Halland's purpose was to minimize the role of external authorities such as counselors, so the self-administering and self-scoring aspects of the SDS are central to its use.

The SDS E's occupational classification system and the suphalis upon encouraging the student to match his or her personality type with an occupational environment are based upon Aulland's theory of vocational behavior, which is described in detail in Holland's writings (e.g.,



Holland, 1973). In brief, the Holland model proposes that there are six personality types and six corresponding occupational environments in the mainstream United States culture. The types are Realistic (R), Investigative (I), (A), Social (S), Enterprising (E), Conventional (C). An occupational environment of a given type presents characteristic demands which are best met by individuals of the corresponding type. Likewise 🕢 an individual of a given type has characteristic preferences and compétencies which are most satisfactorily satisfyingly manifested in an occupational environment of the corresponding type.

The six types described by Holland are pure types, but in nature the types occur not purely but in combination. Therefore, each individual and each environment may be described in terms of the relative dominance of several types. An individual who is predominantly Investigative and secondaril, mitistic, for example, may be described as IA, otherwas the one is predominantly Artistic and secondarily investigative may be described as AI. In theory, each individual and each environment may be described by a code of six letters, each letter indicating the relative strongth of that types characteristics; in practice, into ideals and environments tend to be described in one; two, or the effective codes.



The SDS-E was developed for clients who are readers, both adults and children. In particular, compared to the SDS, the SDS-E uses a lower-level vocabulary, complex scoring instructions, and fewer items 228). Holland claims that 80% to 100% of American fourth graders know the vocabulary used in the directions for the SDS-E. The occupational titles which comprise one of the scales in the SDS are supplemented by simple definitions in the SDS E. By implication, the SDS-E would appear based upon an assumption that reading skill is the 'only dimension upon which poor readers and normal ocational personality presumably can be assessed in basically the same way for the two populations. (17/7) assumed that the 5D5 and the SDS-E had about ارايار(باهي Wirtenberg (۱۷۷۶, cited in Holland, المالية) eporting con a sample of 115 amale and 121 female seventh graders, to heliablitties for the SDS-E subscales which ranged from .06 to £92, about the same as for (Hulland, 1979).

PROCEDURE

The instrument was administered in a classroom setting. No special instructions were given. Although the students completed the SDS E in a group setting, they worked into idually according to the standard procedure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SAMPLE VERSUS NORMATIVE DATA

The incidence of one-, two-, and three-point SDS-E summary codes obtained by the remedial reading students are presented in Tables 1 (boys) and 2 (girls). Since there are no published normative data for the SDS-E to which the sample data might be compared, normative data for the SDS are presented for purposes of comparison to SDS-E data in Tables 1 and 2.

Insert Tables 1 and 2 about here.

but the modal primary type (highest scale score or my point code) for boys in the remedial reading classes was healtatic (37.3%) which corresponds to the modal type for the modal type group (40.1%). The major apparent difference in one point and between the boys in the remedial and in ding classes and in the normative group was in the investigative type, with feder I types in the remedial cading classes (3.6%) than could be expected on the basis of high School ms (22.7%).

the most temmore two-points and end to the major emedial control sometimes and the most common code in the sometimes are supplied to the sample, the SK, RS, and RE codes are sometimes are,



respectively, the eighth, first, and sixth most common two-point codes among high school boys (Holland, 1979, p. 14. Table 8); the eight most common two-point codes account for 66.5% of the high school boys in the normative group. The ES code (11th in rank of the normative group), IE (14th), RC (15th), and AR (18th) accounted for 20.5% of the sample boys; the ninth through 18th ranked two-point codes accounted for 26.2% of the normative group. Remaining sample boys (14.2%) were coded ER (19th in the norm group) and CS (20th); these two codes account for 2.1% of the normative group, with the ten least common two-point codes (which account for only 5.3% of the normative group) not epresented in the sample

The compaction of obtained codes and normative data is approximated to the remarkable reading approximate to the remarkable reading the codes to the remarkable reading approximate the object of the remarkable reading the codes to the remarkable reading and approximate the object of the even more disad antage, it would be even more disad antage, it would be even more disad antage, it their vocational personal to these students if their vocational personalities and each abilities approximately were minimized and intended to make with all able occurs trouble of the distribution of people and jobs requiring at least some right achoof the origin graduate school training at least some right achoof The Realistic type,

y

8

which accounted for 39.3% of the boys in the remedial reading sample, accounts for 50.8% of such jobs held by men; presumably these boys will not be at a disadvantage in finding occupational environments suited vocational personalities. The Enterprising types (14.3% of the boys in the sample) to\have similar may be predicted advantages since 24.7% of the jobs held by men are of Enterprising high-point code. The remaining 46.4% of the boys in the sample (the L. A. S. and C types), however, may be competing for the remaining 24.4% of the jobs, employment not well matched () personalities.

Itelliand , eported that 53.9% of the normative group of ingo school bo, a are of Holland types (I, A, S, and C) which correspond to these remaining 24.4% of the jobs. That is, adult male employment tends toward the Realistic and enterprising environments and less toward the other four types, which, nevertheless, are represented among males of all ages. The store, adult employment in a job to which one is incongruent in interests, abilities, and other or thousand, personally characteristics may be a prospect common to a market more than half the high school boys in years of the same than half the high school boys in the reading sample reported here. This relatively as a could state of affairs for the remedial reading sample,

however, is probably attributable to the very deficiency which placed the sample boys in the remedial reading class. Academic difficulties and associated interests experiences make it less likely that a boy can aspire to jobs which require high level verbal pr abstract skills and more likely that he will take a job of a type which simply exists in large number. The low incidence . Investigative type in the sample's three-point codes increases the probability that these boys will wandidates for the Realistic or Enterprising jobs which require special or high-level skills (e.g., IR or RI jobs Lis wingliness lings

GINIS. The modal primary two for girls in the Social resental stading classes (60.0%)was conceptions to the modal type for the normative group of high school girls (66.7%). For girls, more I types appeared ing the remedial reading classes (20.0%) than in the. rungett a group (6.0%), and somewhat fewer A types, appeared in the sample than among the norms (6.7% versus 12.6%). that there were no Realistic or Enterprising types among the gives in the sample is typical of girls in general; these two types comprise only 1.7% of the normative group of gills, although they comprise almost half of normatice group of boys.

The most common two-point codes in the female remedial

reading sample corresponded to the most common codes in the normative group. Within the sample, only five of the 30 possible two-point codes were represented. These five codes are among the seven most common two-point codes for high school girls, accounting for 91.1% of the normative group (Holland, 1979, p. 14, Table 8). The girls sampled here appeared to manifest the same restricted range of vocational personalities which characterizes girls and women in general.

The comparison of obtained codes and normative data has implications for eventual employment of the girls as well as the boys reported here. The distribution of people and jobs held by women (Holland, 1979, p. 77, Table $\C-21$) suggests that the Social code, which accounts for 60.0% of the girls in the remedial reading/sample and 66.7% of high school girls, describes the characteristics of only 24.1% of the jobs held by women which require at least some high school training. The discrepancy suggests that these girls at a disadvantage in finding occupational will be environments saited to their vocational personalities. Girls of Conventional type, on the other hand, should be in a more antageous position, since 13.3% of the sample the normative group girls would be and ted for 42.1% of the jobs held by women. The I and A types logerner accounted for 26.7% of the sample (20.6% of



the normative group) but only 3.3% of the jobs.

The girls in the remedial reading class seemed reflect the general condition of high school vocational personalities were consistent with traditional sex-role socialization which creates an overrepresentation Social type relative to available employment. Social type is far more commonly represented in the female than in the male work force (only 7% of male work force employment is reported to be of the Social type as compared to 24.1% of female employment), but the work force does not provide Social jobs (teaching, counseling, and the like) in sufficient number to absorb the women of this type. Conventional job market is the most likely second choice for women of traditional Holland types, since Conventional jobs are overrepresented relative to personalities and since. Conventional job characteristics are acceptable to traditional views of women's work "Keig., clerical secretarial jobs). For this sample, as for high school students in general, 'S and C jobs for girls may be what R and E jobs are for boys: such jobs are what one does when one has no special skills or ideas about one's vocational possibilities. That 17.9% of the jobs held by women are reportedly Realistic when virtually no girls are of this. personality type underscores the continuing demand for jobs require physical labor, working with machines,

which do not place a premium on verbal or abstract skills. The presumed academic deficiencies of the remedial reading students reported here suggest that increased opportunities for women to work in nontraditional fields may mean a greater likelihood of these girls taking Realistic jobs, not necessarily jobs which are congruent with their vocational personalities.

STATED ASPIRATIONS VERSUS MEASURED PERSONALITY

To shed light on the vocational future of the sample. Holland types of the students' vocational aspirations as noted in the Possible Jobs section of the SDS-E were compared to their summary codes. This comparison of stated aspiration and measured vocational personality. accomplished by obtaining frequencies of Zener-Schnuelle codes of agreement (Holland, 1979, p. 15, Table 9). The Zener-Schnuelle index permits two three-point codes to compared on the basis of the order of the types. example. if the Holland types of the occupational aspiration and of the SDS summary code are both RIE, Zener-Schnuelle index is 6 for perfect agreement; if the aspiration is RIE but the summary code is RIA, Zener-Schnuelle index is 5, and so forth.

For 38 (86.4%) of the students in the remedial reading classes, the Zener-Schnuelle index of agreement was 1, which indicates simply that the first letter of either code

matched any letter in the other code. For example, an aspiration of AIR and a summary code of SEA would achieve an index of agreement of 1. For the remaining six students (13.6%), the Zener-Schnuelle index of agreement was 0, which indicates that the first letter of one code is not included in the other code. For example, an aspiration of IRE and a summary code of SEA would achieve an index of agreement of 0. Divergence great enough to obtain indices of 0 through 2 is termed unusual (Holland, 1979, p. 15). Holland, (1979, p. 46, Table 18) presents data from 218 high school boys and 148 high school girls which suggest that only 32% of the boys and 29% of the girls exhibit such low agreement between aspirations and SDS summary code. In fact, Zener-Schnuelle codes of 0 and 1 occur with chance expectancy.

19

The unusually low agreement between aspiration and vocational personality could be accounted for in a variety of ways. An obvious possibility was that the students reading skills were deficient to the extent that they could not comprehend the testing materials and, as a result, answered more or less randomly. Therefore, two analyses, one of reading level correlates and one of errors and irregularities in test-taking, were conducted to test the possibility that the SDS-E was unsuited for the poor readers for whom it was designed.

READING LEVEL CORRELATES:

Pearson correlation coefficients were computed for reading level and Holland type scale scores. The coefficients are presented in Table 3.

Insert Table 3 about here.

No Holland type scale was found to correlate to a statistically significant degree with reading level. Since the SDS-E was designed to be no different from the SDS except in being easier to read, the failure' to find a significant correlation between types and reading level may be evidence supporting the use of the SDS-E with the population for whom it was designed. Presumably, students of varying but low reading ability are equally able to achieve high or low scores on the various scales, without reading skills being a contributing factor to scores on one scale or another. The descriptions of the personality types provided by Holland (1979) would make plausible predictions that better readers would score highly on the Investigative scale and that poorer readers would score highly on the Realistic scale. Whereas the summary code data would suggest such a possibility for boys, the correlational data do not support either prediction.

There being little evidence of invalidity of the SDS-E



as a consequence of reading deficiency, an analysis of errors and irregularities was undertaken to discover if the instructions or the arithmetic skills required to score the SDS-E were too complex for this sample and may have led to random test-taking behavior.

ERRORS AND IRREGULARITIES

In general, the 44 students did remarkably well in following the instructions of the SDS-E. Some errors and irregularities occurred, however, and are noted here.

FOSSIBLE JOBS. Most students (N=33) listed fewer than five jobs that they had thought about at some time in their items. This omission cannot be assumed to be an error, since these students may well have had a restricted view of occupational possibilities such that fewer than five job titles came to mind.

LIKES, COMPETENCIES, AND JOBS. Eight students did not count the number of Yes responses and write the sum in the correct box. Nine students made errors in addition.

RATING YOUR ABILITIES. Two students did not properly rank their abilities.

COUNTING YOUR ANSWERS. Seven students made errors in addition. Two students incorrectly copied the scores from previous pages. Two students wrote down the wrong code letters. Two students failed to write down any code letters. One student did not complete this section at all.



WHAT YOUR SUMMARY CODE MEANS. Seven students who listed jobs for their summary codes did not complete the second task, which calls for reversing the summary code and finding additional jobs. Four students did not list any jobs for their summary codes.

SUMMARY. Although mistakes were made, it does not appear that the sample as a whole had unusual difficulty in following the instructions or computing the scores on the SDS-E. Therefore, it would appear that the low agreement between aspiration and obtained scores was due not to the failure of the sample to comprehend the SDS-E but to some other factor.

CONCLUSION

The remedial reading classes sampled for the present investigation seemed to have performed on the SDS-E in much the same way as the normative group of high school students performed on the SDS. Both groups of students tended to manifest Holland types which are traditionally associated with their gender. Both groups would seem likely to experience significant incongruence with the world of work in their adult employment, given the disparities in representation of certain personality types relative to jobs of those types. Where the groups seemed to differ was evident primarily in the agreement between stated vocational aspirations and obtained summary scores on the



SDS or SDS-E. The remedial reading students aspirations were in far less agreement with their measured vocational personalities than was the case in the normative group. Analyses of the effect of reading deficiencies or complexity of instructions suggested that neither of these factors contributed significantly to this result.

The failure of the majority of the remedial reading students to list as few as five possible jobs that they had ever considered may be the key to the incongruence between aspiration and personality. These students may have had an unusually restricted view of vocational possibilities, to which their reading deficiency may have been a contributing variable. Not being good students and not liking to read are common correlates of placement in remedial reading classes; not associating with those who are good students and who do like to read may also be common to this group. The vocational possibilities which are commonly considered by better students may be alien concepts to these students. Experience in thinking of themselves in terms of a large variety of future possibilities may be lacking.

Remedial reading students may be like high school students in general in variety of vocational personalities, but they may be less career mature, i.e., less ready to make a reasonable career decision based upon available knowledge of themselves and the world of work. As a result,



the SDS-E may be a valuable counseling tool for poor readers, as it was designed to be, because it may permit the assessment of their vocational personalities despite their, poor self-assessment skills. However, for the students to put the results of the assessment to good use may require counseling and skills training in areas such as self-evaluation, occupational information seeking, and decision-making. The data reported here suggest that the SDS-E may be of value in the vocational guidance of reading deficient high school students, but, like the SDS, it is only part of a good guidance program.

SDS-E

19

Footnote

The authors acknowledge the valuable assistance rendered by Margaret Ann Wilson and Pamela Cohen.

References -

- Holland, J. L. The self-directed search. Palo Alto, Calif.:
 Consulting Psychologists Press, 1970.
- Holland, J. L. Making vocational choices: A theory of careers. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1973.
- Holland, J. L. The self-directed search: Professional manual. Palo Alto, Calif.: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1979.

Table 🛌

Incidence of One-, Two-, and Three-Point

SDS-E Summary Codes for Males in Remedial Reading Classes

and SDS Summary Codes for Male Normative Group

Norms

				•								
	Ņ	7.	٠	Ν	%		N,	1.5		%	7.	%
下	1 1,	3 9. 3	5 -		•	-			4	0.1	.•	,)
			RS	6 2	21.4						13.9	
		•		•		RSA	4	14.3				2.7
						RSE	1	\$.6				5.6
	•					RSC	1	3,6			•	1.0
	,		RE	3 1	0.7			.)		`	5.9	
<u></u>						REA	1	3.6		_ 4	3	.5
						REC	2,	7.1				. 4
			RC	2	7.1	•)	1			1.8	*
						RCI	1	3.6	•			. 4
				•		RCE	1	3.6		٠.		.5
I	1	პ. 6′		X					.22	2.7		*
.			IE.	1	3.6				v.		2.1	
		,				IES	1	3.6				1.2
A	3,	10.7		·					8	3.2	•	
			AR	3√1	Q.7 _.	• •					1.4	- 1
•	~} *					ARS	3 1	10.7			•	. 9
S	, 72	25.0		494	٠				20	. 1		Par
	•			~ ~	·			•		, .	4	

Sample

SDS-E

22

Table í, continuéd

	-		S	amp	ole		•	_		N	orms		
	N	1%.		Ν	7.	5	N	7.		%	7	7	
		~	1			SRA	, ś	10.7				1.,0	*
	Ä,		*	•	À	SRE	4	.14.3	•			1.8	. •
E	4	14.3						÷		6.13		•	
	i-	ė.	.ER	2	7.1	٠,		•	~ .		1.1		•.
		• ′	٠			ERS	2	7.1			•	.8.	
1			ES	2	7.1						3.1	•	
<i>).</i>	•	. •				ESR	1	3.6			\$ +	1.7	. 6
/						ESA	1	3.6	¥			. 3`	
C	2	7.1								2.8		e.	•
•	E	٠.,	CS,	2	7.1	. 1					1.05	8	

Sample N=28 (ohe boy did not finish the SDS-E); pormative data from Holland (1979, p. 71), Table C-14, "SDS Summary Codes for High School Boys (N=2169)."

CSE 2 17.1

Table 2

Incidence of One-, Two-, and Three-Point SDS-E Summary Codes for Females in Remedial Reading Classes

•		and	SDS Summ	ary .C	ode	es f o r	Female	Norma	atiye	Group
		•	Sample			•	. 1	Norms		
•	.N	%	N %	,	Ν	, %	/%	1	7	Y -
R	O	0.0					6 • 9			
I	3	20.0	. \			<i>y</i> .	8 , 0	,		
		, , .	IS 3 20.	o				5.6	•	•
				ISR	1	6.7	•		. 9	
				ISA	1	6.7			3.1	•
			•	ISE	1	6.7			. 9	N.
A	1	6.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				12.6			
			AS 1 6.	7				10.3		
			. •	ASE	1	6.7	. 1.		3.2	
s	9	60.0				a	66.7	ا الم		
			SE 4 26.7	7	,	• •		11.2		,
	/			SEA	2	13\3			3.7	
	(.			SEC	2	13.3			3.8	
		•	sc 5 33.3	5 - 4		•		17.7		٠.
				SCI	1	6.7		-	3.8	
				SCA	2	13.3°			4.6	

8.3

SDS-E

74

Table 2, continued

•	~ · · · · ·	Sample			No	rms	
N	7.	N Z	N	7	. %	% %-	
		CS 2-13.3				914	,
4			CSI 1	6.7		1.9	
			CSE 1 ,	6.7	•	4.5	

Sample N=15; normative data from Holland (1979, p. 72), Table C-15; "SDS Summary Codes for High School Girls (N=2447)."

che_E

25

Table 3

Correlation of Holland Type Scale Scores and Reading Level

Scale Scores

R

I

À

S

=

C

Reading Level

-- 07

.08

-.03

-- 22

13 -